

# Land Use Options Comparison Table

The following table presents citywide implications of the 1992 General Plan build-out and land use options A and B relative to key assessment criteria.

## Economics and Demographics

Assessment Criteria	Description	1992 General Plan Build-out	Land Use Option A	Land Use Option B
Population	Increase in residential population	4,000	11,600	22,600
Jobs	Increase in the number of jobs in the City	3,400	7,000	14,600
Non-residential square footage	Increase in the amount of non-residential space	1,230,000	2,585,000	5,445,000
Dwelling units	Increase in the number of new dwelling units	1,900	5,525	10,800
Annual net fiscal balance	This represents the combined results of the General Operating Fund and the SRPC Fund; the existing annual net balances for FY 2008-2009 are \$4.4 million in the General Fund and \$10.6 million in the SRPC Fund*	General Fund: \$16.6 million SRPC Fund: \$1.8 million	General Fund: \$24.3 million SRPC Fund: \$4.3 million	General Fund: \$29.9 million SRPC Fund: \$14.1 million

\*The SRPC Fund functions in a manner similar to a general fund for the Shoreline Regional Park Community. The net balance figure for the SRPC Fund includes operating expenditures only; it excludes costs of capital improvements and debt service.

## Transportation and Circulation

Assessment Criteria	Description	1992 General Plan Build-out	Land Use Option A	Land Use Option B
Daily VMT per capita	Average vehicles miles traveled (VMT) per person	19	18	17.5
Access to transit	Percentage of residential population within 2500 feet of existing regional transit; does not include potential new transit system improvements	35%	37%	39%
Transit potential for North Bayshore	Identifies the potential for future transit for North Bayshore based on the amount and pattern of development	low	medium	high
Traffic congestion on City streets	Percentage of local roadway segments with LOS E/F	19% of segments with LOS E/F	21% of segments with LOS E/F (an increase of 1 segment as compared to the 1992 GP)	21% of segments with LOS E/F (an increase of 1 segments as compared to the 1992 GP)

## Community and Environment

Assessment Criteria	Description	1992 General Plan Build-out	Land Use Option A	Land Use Option B
Parks deficiency	Number of acres needed to achieve 3 acres per 1000 (increase from current deficiency)	42 acres	54 acres	97 acres
Water use and supply	Increase in the total water use and the comparison to available water (the existing supply assurance).	Increase of 521,500 gallons per day; lower than existing supply assurance.	Increase of 1,375,000 gallons per day; lower than existing supply assurance	Increase of 2,725,000 gallons per day; lower than existing supply assurance
Energy use	Increase in total electricity use (in kilowatt) and relative per capita use	Increase of 39 million kilowatt hours; slight decline in per capita energy use compared to existing.	Increase of 87.5 million kilowatt hours; decline in per capita energy use compared to 1992 General Plan.	Increase of 179.5 million kilowatt hours; decline in per capita energy use compared to Land Use Option A.

